




INTOS

PPSS

PSC Steering Committee

Observers Survey 2021




Currently, the main competencies of the PSC SC include

- Approving the changes in FIPP's terms of reference before they are presented to the Governing Board
- Approval of changes in the PSC's terms of reference before they are presented to the Governing Board
- Strategic development plan (SDP) for INTOSAI's framework of pronouncements: Decision on the organization of the planning process and approval
- Approval of the budget for INTOSAI standard-setting activities
- Encouraging relevant candidates for FIPP to come forward through the nomination process organized by the goal chairs
- Give guidance, and drive long-term development of FIPP
- Ensuring that FIPP contributes to reliable and effective standard setting
- Provide a linkage of FIPP to INTOSAI's regions, the PSC's subcommittees, the CBC and the KSC as well as other INTOSAI bodies
- Decide about any issues in relation to the application and the procedures of the due process
- Establish supplementary procedures needed to make the due process work well in practice
- Lead INTOSAI's collaboration with other international standard-setters
- Raise awareness on FIPP and promoting the ISSAIs in the INTOSAI community and among external stakeholders



How would you rate the following PSC SC competencies



What competencies would you add to the SC? Which ones would you remove?

better coordination between subcommittees

More strategic focus and the sub-committees should have a more operational focus

The way the SC is composed and work does not seem to match the competencies stated. This may call for reconsideration of the membership.

PSC SC meetings should be divided into 2 separate sessions : one restricted to SAI members and observers, and the other opened to partners as IFAC or World Bank. To be followed ...


None, just ensure that are given weight proportionate to their relative importance

Consider to further define the implementation of "Give guidance, and drive long-term development of FIPP" in order to avoid conflicts of interest between the PSC and the FIPP.


When we have delicate internal issues to deal with, like relationship between FIPP and PSC, it is unappropriate that external partners like IFAC or IIA or WB attend the discussions.

I wouldn't remove any, they are all fairly important, I would add a good coordination with the INTOSAI bodies and specifically with the PFAC to be in the loop with all the updates.


Which of the following should continue as members of the PSC SC



Which of the following should continue as observers of the PSC SC



Which of the following should continue as advisory partners of the PSC SC



Who else should be at the PSC SC?

SAls which are members of the four subcommittees

SAls which are members of the 4 subcommittees of PSC

In addition to the listed observers, other relevant organizations should continue to be admitted as observers in accordance with the PSC ToR.

In addition to the listed observers, other relevant organizations should continue to be admitted as observers in accordance with the PSC ToR.

No one other than mentioned

INTOSAI Chair and first vicechair and chair of FIPP may be considered as members rather than observers